CASE NOTES

This section is read in pari materia with the Juvenile Code, in particular, § 7A-551. State v. Efird, 309 N.C. 802, 309 S.E.2d 228 (1983).

Evidence That Defendant in Sexual Abuse Case Had Gonorrhea. — Unequivocal evidence that a seven-year old girl had been sexually abused would invoke this section and § 7A-551. There-

fore, medical records maintained by a county health department, revealing that the defendant had been treated for gonorrhea, were admissible as evidence with regard to the cause or source of the child's disease. State v. Efird, 309 N.C. 802, 309 S.E.2d 228 (1983).

Stated in Spell v. McDaniel, 591 F. Supp. 1090 (E.D.N.C. 1984).

§ 8-53.2. Communications between clergymen and communicants.

No priest, rabbi, accredited Christian Science practitioner, or a clergyman or ordained minister of an established church shall be competent to testify in any action, suit or proceeding concerning any information which was communicated to him and entrusted to him in his professional capacity, and necessary to enable him to discharge the functions of his office according to the usual course of his practice or discipline, wherein such person so communicating such information about himself or another is seeking spiritual counsel and advice relative to and growing out of the information so imparted, provided, however, that this section shall not apply where communicant in open court waives the privilege conferred (1959, c. 646; 1963, c. 200; 1967, c. 794.)

Legal Periodicals.— For note, "Privileged Communications— The New North Carolina Priest-Penitent Statute," see 46 N.C.L. Rev. 427 (1968). For comment surveying North Caro-

lina law of relational privilege, see 50 N.C.L. Rev. 630 (1972).

For survey of 1983 law of evidence, 866 62 N.C.L. Rev. 1290 (1984).

CASE NOTES

Privilege Is Statutory. — Apart from this statute, there is no privilege with reference to communications between a clergyman or other spiritual advisor and his communicants or others who seek his advice and comfort. In re Williams, 269 N.C. 68, 152 S.E.2d 317, cert. denied, 388 U.S. 918, 87 S. Ct. 2137, 18 L. Ed. 2d 1362 (1967), commented on in 45 N.C.L. Rev. 863, 884, 924 (1967).

Minister Related to Defendant. — In a criminal trial, the trial court erred

in allowing the mother of the victim, who was also the defendant's aunt and a minister, to testify with respect to state ments made by defendant when she victed defendant while he was in jail, at this witness was acting at least in part in her professional capacity as a minister. State v. Jackson, 77 N.C. App. 834, 336 S.E.2d 437 (1985).

Stated in Spell v. McDaniel, 591 Supp. 1090 (E.D.N.C. 1984).

Cited in Spencer v. Spencer, 61 N.C. App. 535, 301 S.E.2d 411 (1983).

1966 Stale V Barker

apter: ough

CASE NOTES

This section is read in pari materia with the Juvenile Code, in particular, § 7A-551. State v. Efird, 309 N.C. 802, 309 S.E.2d 228 (1983).

Evidence That Defendant in Sexual Abuse Case Had Gonorrhea. — Unequivocal evidence that a seven-year old girl had been sexually abused would invoke this section and § 7A-551. There-

fore, medical records maintained county health department, revealed that the defendant had been treat gonorrhea, were admissible as even with regard to the cause or source child's disease. State v. Efird, 30, 802, 309 S.E.2d 228 (1983).

Stated in Spell v. McDaniel, Supp. 1090 (E.D.N.C. 1984).

§ 8-53.2. Communications between clergymen communicants.

No priest, rabbi, accredited Christian Science practitioner clergyman or ordained minister of an established church shall competent to testify in any action, suit or proceeding concernany information which was communicated to him and entrust him in his professional capacity, and necessary to enable his discharge the functions of his office according to the usual counhis practice or discipline, wherein such person so communicate such information about himself or another is seeking spiricounsel and advice relative to and growing out of the information imparted, provided, however, that this section shall not where communicant in open court waives the privilege conference (1959, c. 646; 1963, c. 200; 1967, c. 794.)

Legal Periodicals. — For note, "Privileged Communications — The New North Carolina Priest-Penitant Statute," see 46 N.C.L. Rev. 427 (1968). For comment surveying North Caro-

lina law of relational privilege, N.C.L. Rev. 630 (1972).

For survey of 1983 law of evidence 62 N.C.L. Rev. 1290 (1984).

CASE NOTES

Privilege Is Statutory. — Apart from this statute, there is no privilege with reference to communications between a clergyman or other spiritual advisor and his communicants or others who seek his advice and comfort. In re Williams, 269 N.C. 68, 152 S.E.2d 317, cert. denied, 388 U.S. 918, 87 S. Ct. 2137, 18 L. Ed. 2d 1362 (1967), commented on in 45 N.C.L. Rev. 863, 884, 924 (1967).

Minister Related to Defendant. — In a criminal trial, the trial court erred

in allowing the mother of the who was also the defendant's aum minister, to testify with respect to ments made by defendant when ited defendant while he was in this witness was acting at least in her professional capacity as ter. State v. Jackson, 77 N.C. Applied S.E.2d 437 (1985).

Stated in Spell v. McDaniel. Supp. 1090 (E.D.N.C. 1984).

Cited in Spencer v. Spencer, 41 App. 535, 301 S.E.2d 411 (1983)

NC Meneral Startules book 3 chaps 8-14⁶⁰

A county and the same of the s