

two theories to our case:

we don't know who killed patricia, she came in on a burglary or whatever, the house had been broken into some 2 to 3 times before at least once while patricia was living in it by herself it is the ideal house to break into can't see it from the road, there is certainly a reasonable doubt because really all you have is the police officer's word that this was not a break-in

where are her keys to the vehicle and her pocketbook they are left in her car

why would ronnie tell mitch that there was money becs. it was a yr and 4 months later and he already kenw there would be no money

if mitch is that scared of ronnie  
if state's theory is lying in wait, ronnie kimble has to get there before 3:30 to make sure that he is there and in hiding before she ever gets there  
the knife

the gun--where are the prints onthe gun  
it was never analyzed  
gun was sent off to analyze the hair on it  
but they never analyzed it for prints  
if that gun had shown ronnie kimble's prints on it that would be pretty conclusive evidence

second let's just set the first argument aside--that there's a reasonable doubt that it was a break-in, let's assume that ted kimble was responsible for his wife's death. mr. panosh has certainly proved that he is a cad a heel, and whatever else you want to say about him, and the insurance money would prove to be a powerful motive  
we told you fromthe start we were not going to defend ted and we're not  
what evidence is there that ronnie kimble was ted's instrument  
what evidence is there that there is a conspiarcy  
two are brothers cn't deny  
motive here is the insurance money and ronnie's motive is supposedly money \$20,000 is the figure put forth by mr. p  
why does ronnie kimble pay ted kimble back in bits pieces on the underpinning--when if you believe panosh's theory ted owed ronnie \$20,000  
if somebody owed you \$20,000 and wanted you to pay him back a couple hundred dollars for some underpinning wouldn't you tell him to go jump in a lake

what kind of conspiarcy is this

ted never paid ronnie anything  
ted goes out and buys this expensive motorcycle right after  
patricia's death--he get a settlement from the contents of  
the house burning \$50,000 or so  
if there was some kind of conspiracy btw. ted and ronnie  
don't you think ronnie would have gotten some of that  
here is the guy that you have hired to kill your wife--  
doesn't common sense tell you that need to pay him something  
to keep him quiet  
panosh will tell you that ronnie couldn't say anything  
without implicating himself--but commone sense would tell  
you that you would want to keep guy you conspired with happy  
what if he get arrested and then confesses and implicates  
you  
you would pay him--at least give him some of this stuff you  
stole--one of these gocarts or a generator  
and if there was anyevidence of any money or anything of  
value going from ted to ronnie that det. church would  
uncover it

isn't it far more likely that if you believe that ted kimble  
was repsonsible for his wife's death that he hired one of  
his partners in crime  
what about patrick pardee what about rob nichols

patrick pardee has only to name ronnie as the trigger man  
what about james day  
what about rodney woodberry  
what about james ogburn

or to put in another way--the motive mr. panosh has put  
forward is money

if ted and ronnie conspired to kill patricia, why do they  
stop there--why isn't ronnie in with ted stealing building  
supplies ronnie comes home every weekend that is the perfect  
time to go out on a saturday or friday night and steal these  
supplies--but we don't see thatdoesn't ted get  
argue that nichols was just not as smart as pardee and enver  
really figured out that they wanted ronnie too--that's why  
nichols doesn't specifically mention him in ted's alleged  
statement

argue that if it was ronnie driving the car which turned  
into brandon station ct. he nver wuld have turned in if  
there had been another car there unless that driver was  
involved and you have not heard anything abt. another  
suspect

no physical evidence whatsoever

house of cards  
based on self-serving testimony

the keys were left in the ignition; her pcketbook still in the car. there are two logical conclusions, either she comes on some sitution where there is somebody she trusts like ronnie, who says your house has been broken into, I don't think there's anybody inside lets go look and they run inside

or she pulls up there is nobody else there as far as she can see and she is just going to run inside and get something bcs. she's late for the church meeting or whatever. the second is far more likely

why would ronnie pay his borther back in bits and pieces on the underpinning if ted owed him \$20,000 for killing patricia